

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upoto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,135	03/15/2006	Peter Niebling	Ina-22	8856
	7590 05/22/200 RCANTI, LLP	8	EXAM	INER
475 PARK AVENUE SOUTH			HANNON, THOMAS R	
15TH FLOOR NEW YORK, 1			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3682	
			MATE TARTE	DEL HERMA CORE
			MAIL DATE 05/22/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) NIEBLING ET AL. 10/564,135

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thomas R. Hannon	3682	1				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SN: (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If all the property of the time of the communication of the co	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	-· action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E.							
Disposition of Claims							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)X Claim(s) <u>1-4.6.8-11 and 13-24</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,7 and 12</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		-				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
Notice of Preferences Cited (P10-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/CS) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/564,135

Art Unit: 3682

The listing of references in the Search Report is not considered to be an information disclosure statement (IDS) complying with 37 CFR 1.98. 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2) requires a legible copy of: (1) each foreign patent; (2) each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; (3) for each cited pending U.S. application, the application specification including claims, and any drawing of the application, or that portion of the application which caused it to be listed including any claims directed to that portion, unless the cited pending U.S. application is stored in the Image File Wrapper (IFW) system; and (4) all other information, or that portion which caused it to be listed. In addition, each IDS must include a list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office (see 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1) and (b)), and MPEP § 609.04(a), subsection I. states, "the list ... must be submitted on a separate paper." Therefore, the references cited in the Search Report have not been considered. Applicant is advised that the date of submission of any item of information or any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the IDS, including all "statement" requirements of 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3, 13-18, 23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to claim 3, a product claim is defined by the final structure of the article; a comparison to "the initial material" is indefinite. Additionally, there is no proper antecedent for Art Unit: 3682

"the initial material of uniform thickness" and as it is unclear whether this initial material thickness remains in the final article claimed, the metes and bounds of the final product are not clearly and distinctly defined. Similarly claims 14 and 15 are indefinite. With respect to claim 13, there is no proper antecedent for "the contact lines", as this limitation is first defined in claim 11, yet claim 13 has been amended to depend from claim 10. With respect to claim 16, the recitation of "a flange" in lines 1-2 is an improper double inclusion of the flange set forth in claim 1. With respect to claim 23, "the at least elastically deformed bearing ring" is an improper indirect limitation, as the bearing ring has not been previously defined as an elastically deformed bearing ring.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6, 8-11, and 13-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Inoue JP 2003-25803.

Inoue discloses a bearing ring (2) of a wheel bearing unit, which bearing ring is coldformed in one part and is designed hollow-cylindrically about an axis of rotation of the wheel
bearing unit, has at least two raceways (20a, 20b) for rolling bodies (22), is provided at least with
a flange (24) emanating radially with respect to the axis of rotation, has a rim, the rim running
axially about the axis of rotation between the raceways (20a, 20b) and projecting radially out of
the bearing ring (2) between the raceways, and has an annular groove, the annular groove
adjoining the rim radially on a circumferential side of the bearing ring which faces away from

Application/Control Number: 10/564,135

Art Unit: 3682

the raceways, and the annular groove extending, radially codirectionally with the rim, at least partially radially into the bearing ring. The bearing ring merges axially on both sides of the rim into hollow cylindrical portions. The rim has a cylindrical surface facing radially inward. The rim forms a shoulder for the raceways. The flange includes flange holes, as seen in Figure 3, as well as a planar surface and a projection (25). With respect to claims 19-24, the bearing ring is in a wheel bearing as claimed.

Claims 5, 7, and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas R. Hannon whose telephone number is (571) 272-7104. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:30-7:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,135 Page 5

Art Unit: 3682

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Thomas R. Hannon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3682